

|            |     |          |        |           |       |
|------------|-----|----------|--------|-----------|-------|
| DOC        | 14  | REV DATE | 070508 | BY        |       |
| ORIG COMP  | 033 | OPI      | 56     | TYP       | 02    |
| ORIG CLASS | 5   | PAGES    | 1      | REV CLASS | 5     |
| JUST       | 22  | NEXT REV | 290    | AUTH      | HR 10 |

**CONFIDENTIAL**  
**SECRET**

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 25 October 1960CONTRACT NO.: 051-1045-9  
T.O. 16-20087-9

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

REPORT NO.: 4  
(If final, so state)

Contractor \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Commodity/Service CS-5 RFO Classification SECRETNo. of Prototypes 1 prototype  
80 production Contract Completion Date September 1960The Contractor is on schedule \_\_\_\_\_ Yes ☒ NoThe Contractor will remain within his allocated funds ☒ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ NoAn extension of time will be necessary ☒ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ NoAll phases of the technical progress are satisfactory \_\_\_\_\_ Yes ☒ No

The overall performance of this contractor is: ☒ Satisfactory  
☐ Subject to Improvement  
☐ Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments

Comments Twenty units of the "production equipment" previously delivered to the Agency warehouse were rejected because the battery adaptor's were constructed about 1/32" too short.

Recommended Action The contractor was directed to rework the rejected equipment. A request for a time extension will also be submitted by the contractor.

Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 25 October 1960

APPROVED:

Inspected by: \_\_\_\_\_

Division: OC-2/2-8-17

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

**SECRET**

Extension: \_\_\_\_\_

**CONFIDENTIAL**